

# Genetic analysis of heat stress tolerance in Indian mustard (Brassica juncea L.)

Bhagirath Ram<sup>\*</sup>, VV Singh, HS Meena, A Kumar, BK Singh and Dhiraj Singh

ICAR-Directorate of Rapeseed-Mustard Research, Bharatpur - 321 303 (Rajasthan), India \*Corresponding author: bhagirathram\_icar@yahoo.com (Received: 2 April 2016; Revised: 24 April 2016; Accepted: 10 June 2016)

#### Abstract

A set of fifty-three Indian mustard [Brassica juncea (L.) Czern & Coss.] genotypes were evaluated for genetic variability and correlation for yield and physiological characters during rabi 2012-13 and 2013-14 under heat stress (early sown), and normal (optimum time) environmental conditions. The study was undertaken to generate genetic information for seed yield per plant (g), population survival (%) at 10 and 25 days after sowing (DAS), membrane stability index (%), excised-leaf water loss (%), relative water content (%), water retention capacity of leaves (%), 1000-seed weight (g), and oil content (%), and quantification of relationships among these characters. Significant differences were observed for all the characters among the genotypes, except 1000-seed weight, which revealed the presence of sufficient variability for these characters. High estimates of PCV for MSI, seed yield per plant, and population survival (PS) 25DAS indicated the importance of additive genes. High heritability estimates coupled with high to moderate genetic advance as per cent of mean was recorded for PS 25DAS, WRCL, and RWC indicating the role of additive gene action for its inheritance, and could be improved through selection. Oil content had low heritability estimates along with low genetic advance, indicating non-additive gene activity, and this could be improved through hybridization. Character association analysis revealed that seed yield per plant showed positive, and highly significant correlations with RWC (r=0.407\*\*) which could be considered as one of the important selection criteria in the improvement of seed yield under heat stress situation.

Key words: Indian mustard, correlation, gene action, physiological character, seed yield

### Introduction

Rapeseed-mustard (Brassica spp.) constitutes an important group of oilseed crops in India. Among the rapeseed-mustard group of crops, Indian mustard [Brassica juncea (L.) Czern & Coss.] is the principal crop. It accounts for about 80% of the total area under rapeseed-mustard cultivation in India (Ram et al., 2014). Rajasthan is among the largest producers, accounting for about 50% of the total rapeseed-mustard production in India. The cultivation of rapeseed-mustard in Rajasthan is largely carried out under rainfed farming systems where sowing commences after south-west monsoon rains. Early rains may cause the farmers to sow the crop early in the season to take advantage of conserved moisture in the soil (Venkateswarlu and Prasad, 2012). However, at the time of early

sowing (second fortnight of September to first fortnight of October), the average surface soil temperature may reach as high as 45 °C. High soil temperature often result in seedling mortality upon initial germination which may eventually lead to a situation where the crop needs to be re-sown before a final successful crop is established (Salisbury and Gurung, 2011). The simulation studies by Indian Institute of Tropical Meteorology (IITM), Pune, has reported that annual mean surface temperature is expected to raise by the end of century, ranges from 3 to 5 °C with warming more pronounced in the northern and north-western parts of India. Therefore, efforts to strengthen resilience by genetic up scaling of thermotolerance at seedling stage by utilizing diverse heat tolerant genotypes in Brassica crop improvement programmes would be vital to stabilize the productivity and to meet the growing demands of edible oil in the country. Since, genetic variability is a prerequisite for the meaningful selection, the heritability in conjunction with expected genetic advance determines its success. Furthermore, correlated response to selection depends primarily on the nature and strength of relationship between characters. Keeping in view the above points, the present investigation was aimed to assess, genetic variability, gene action and pattern of correlations of seed yield with other physiological characters.

## **Material and Methods**

A set of 53 Indian mustard genotypes were collected from ICAR-Directorate of Rapeseed-Mustard Research, Bharatpur, India. These genotypes were evaluated under heat stress conditions for two consecutive years (2012-13 and 2013-14) in complete randomized block design with three replications. The experiment was conducted at the experimental farm of the ICAR-DRMR, Bharatpur (77°27' E longitude; 27°12'N latitude and 178.37 m above mean sea level). The soil of the experimental site was sandy loam with EC 1.5 dSm<sup>-1</sup>, organic carbon (0.25 - 0.30%), available N (125-135 kg/ha), P (20-22 kg/ha), K of 240-260 kg/ha, and pH of 8.1. The crop was raised strictly under conserved moisture conditions.

Two hundred seeds of each genotype, including two checks (BPR-543-2 and RH-30), were sown during the two consecutive Rabi seasons of 2012-13 and 2013-14. On the date of seeding (September, 26) of the year 2012-13, the maximum soil temperature at 0 - 10 cm depth was 40.1°C while on the seeding date (September 28) of the year 2013-14, the maximum soil temperature at the same depth was 39.0 °C. The same set of genotypes was also evaluated under timely sown conditions under normal temperature during both the years. During the year 2012-13, the maximum soil temperature on the seeding date (October, 23) at 0-10 cm depth was 31.0°C while in the year 2013-14 the maximum soil temperature on the seeding date (October, 23) at 0-10 cm depth was 30.5°C. All genotypes were grown in two rows of five meter length; with row to row and plant to plant spacing of 30 cm and 10 cm, respectively. The recommended package of practices was followed to raise a good crop. Growth and physiological characters, including, population survival (%) at 10 and 25 days after sowing (DAS), membrane stability index (%), excised-leaf water loss (%), relative water content (%), water retention capacity of leaves (%), seed yield per plant (g), 1000seed weight (g) and oil content (%) were recorded on five randomly selected plants of each genotype.

# Determination of growth and physiological parameters

The estimation procedures of physiological parameters i.e. membrane stability index (MSI), excised- leaf water loss (ELWL), relative water content (RWC), and water retention capacity of leaves (WRCL) were same as described in Ram *et al.* (2015).

The oil content (%) was estimated using nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR), according to the protocol of the AOCS (1980). All mature siliquae from five randomly selected plants were threshed and average seed weight per plant was calculated.

# Statistical analysis

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed on the data following Panse and Sukhatme (1978) and critical differences (CD) were calculated at 5 and 1% probability level. Estimation of phenotypic and genotypic coefficient of variation, heritability in broad sense, genetic gain, and correlation coefficient between seed yield per plant and physiological parameters were determined using statistical software Windostat version 8.5 (Windostat Services, Hyderabad, A.P., India)

## **Results and Discussion**

A set of fifty-three genotypes of Indian mustard were included in the study. Analysis of variance over the environments revealed that these genotypes vary significantly for all the growth and heat stress related characters, except 1000-seed weight (Table 1). These results indicated that selection for traits responsible for high yield potential along with heat stress tolerance characters can be made effectively in the breeding material evaluated in the present study. Estimates of mean and range for all the characters exhibited wide range of variation

Source of Variation	Mean Squares										
	D.F.	PS 10 DAS	PS 25 DAS	MSI	ELWL	RWC	WRCL	1000 -seed weight (g)	Oil content (%)	Seed yield per plant (g)	
Replications	s 2	44.44	16.56	134.15	19.20	0.79	13.95	0.03	0.18	540.75	
Environmer	nts 3	27.55	15.74	54.49	9.82	757.25	965.02	0.57	2.44	40.19	
Interactions	6	3.95	4.36	5.14	1.99	8.21	1.77	0.01	2.83	5.96	
Total	11	17.74	9.68	42.05	7.26	211.14	266.69	0.16	2.24	112.53	
Treatments	52	4251.95	5249.37	785.51	154.57	1449.10	1020.47	2.56	4.37	378.30	
Error	572	80.27	95.37	111.45	15.59	87.87	52.81	0.50	2.35	40.87	

Table 1: Analysis of variance for different seed yield and physiological characters in Indian mustard

(Table 2). The most pronounced range was obtained for PS 10 DAS (22.02 to 94.86), PS 25 DAS (16.66 to 89.12), RWC (45.23 to 91.26), MSI (8.45 to 43.43), and seed yield per plant (10.80 to 34.38). On other hand, characters like 1000-seed weight and oil content exhibited narrow range of variation. The estimates of genotypic and phenotypic coefficients of variation were considerably high for PS 25 DAS, MSI, PS 10 DAS, WRCL, RWC and seed yield per plant (Table 2). High genotypic coefficient of variation for PS 25 DAS (35.37), MSI (30.30), seed yield per plant (26.96) and WRCL (23.66) provide good opportunity for selecting desirable yield and physiological traits responsible

for heat stress. The phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV) exhibited higher values than genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV) for all the characters (Table 2), indicating close association between phenotype and genotype. Similar pattern of high genotypic and phenotypic coefficients of variation for PS 25 DAS, MSI and seed yield per plant have also been reported by Cheema and Sadaqat (2005) in Canola, and Ram *et al.* (2012) in Indian mustard. The results suggested that characters showing high value of genotypic and phenotypic coefficients of variation can be improved by careful selection. The estimates of heritability act as a predictive instrument in exercising the

Characters	$Mean \pm SEm$	Range	CD		PCV	GCV	Heritability	Genetic
			(P=0.05)	(P=0.01)	(%)	(%)	h <sup>2</sup> (%)	advance as % of mean
PS 10 DAS	$68.43 \pm 2.58$	22.02-94.86	7.18	9.45	30.22	27.24	0.81	64.82
PS 25 DAS	$58.59{\pm}~2.81$	16.66-89.12	7.83	10.30	39.10	35.37	0.82	84.47
MSI	$24.72 \pm 3.04$	8.45-43.43	7.46	9.13	52.35	30.30	0.53	42.31
ELWL	$20.93{\pm}1.13$	13.28-28.41	3.16	4.16	24.89	16.25	0.52	33.01
RWC	$66.64 \pm 2.70$	45.23-91.26	7.51	9.89	21.28	15.98	0.61	31.66
WRCL	$37.94{\pm}2.09$	21.38-54.05	5.82	7.66	30.44	23.66	0.65	48.56
Seed yield	$19.46 \pm 1.84$	10.80-34.38	4.45	5.74	42.23	26.96	0.50	45.44
per plant (g)								
1000-seed weight (g)	$5.31 {\pm} 0.20$	4.49-6.25	0.56	0.74	15.44	7.79	0.35	10.40
0	6) 41.61±0.44	39.60-43.17	1.23	1.61	3.81	1.98	0.16	1.67

Table 2: Estimates of different genetic parameters of variation over four environments (pooled)

reliability of phenotypic value. Therefore, it helps the breeder to make selection for a particular character when heritability is high. In addition to this, the genetic advance is a useful indicator of the progress which can be expected as a result of exercising selection on the pertinent population. In the present study, the estimates of heritability varied substantially from 16% for oil content to 82% for PS 25 DAS. High heritability estimates (broad sense) were observed for PS 25 DAS (82%), PS 10 DAS (81%), WRCL (65%), RWC (61%) and MSI (53%). The results indicated that these characters are less influenced by the environmental factors, and direct selection for these characters would be effective for further improvement. The high genetic advance was observed for PS 25 DAS (84.47%), PS 10 DAS (64.82%), WRCL (48.56%), and for seed yield per plant (45.44%), while the remaining characters showed moderate to low genetic advance.

According to Hanson *et al.* (1956), heritability estimates along with genetic advance are of more value than the former alone, in predicting effect of selection. Further, Panse (1957) has also reported that high genetic advance might be expected when heritability is mainly due to additive gene effect. In the present study, heritability (broad sense) of PS 25 DAS (82%) with maximum genetic advance (84.47%) was observed. This might be due to heritability with additive gene impact, and therefore selection may be effective. These results are in agreement with the results obtained by Ram *et al.* (2012), and Azharudheen *et al.* (2013) for PS 25 DAS.

High heritability estimates coupled with moderate genetic advance as per cent of mean was recorded for WRCL and RWC indicating the predominance of additive gene action for these characters. On other hand, character like oil content exhibited low heritability estimates along with low genetic advance, indicating non-additive gene activity. Such character can be improved through hybridization breeding.

The genotypic correlations were estimated for yield and heat stress tolerance characters in all possible combinations. The significant coefficient of correlation between seed yield, and heat stress tolerance characters ranged from 0.334\* to 0.953\*\* (Table 3). Seed yield per plant showed positive and highly significant correlations with RWC (r=0.407\*\*). Thousand-seed weight was positively correlated with MSI (r=0.334\*) and WRCL (r=0.395\*). At the same time, WRCL was highly significantly positively correlated with MSI (r=0.486\*\*). Positive correlation of RWC with seed yield per plant has also been reported in earlier studies in B. juncea by Ram et al. (2012, 2014). Hence, selection for this character would also help in improving the seed yield under heat stress situation in this crop. Population survival 25 DAS was significantly positively associated with PS 10 DAS at genotypic level (Table 3). Excised-leaf water loss showed negative

Characters	PS 10 DAS	PS 25 DAS	MSI	ELWL	RWC	WRCL	1000-seed weight (g)	Oil content (%)	Seed yield per plant (g)
PS 10DAS	1.000	0.953**	-0.271*	-0.136	-0.018	-0.094	-0.182	-0.038	-0.137
PS 25DAS		1.000	-0.349*	-0.025	0.018	-0.094	-0.061	-0.081	-0.177
MSI			1.000	-0.184	-0.120	0.486**	0.334*	-0.099	0.024
ELWL				1.000	0.056	-0.068	-0.021	-0.300*	-0.106
RWC					1.000	0.018	0.090	-0.183	0.407**
WRCL						1.000	0.395*	-0.306*	0.164
1000-seed we	eight (g)						1.000	-0.368**	0.016
Oil content (9							1.000	-0.012	
Seed yield pe	)							1.000	

Table 3. Correlation coefficient among seed yield and physiological traits in Indian mustard (pooled)

\* and \*\* Significant at 5 and 1 per cent level of significance, respectively

significant correlation with oil content (Table 3). Moreover, 1000-seed weight also showed negative highly significant relationship with oil content (r=-0.368\*\*).

Holland (2006) observed that genetic correlations between traits are due to linkage, and/or pleiotropy indicating the magnitude, and direction of correlated response to selection. He also emphasized the relative efficiency of correlations facilitating indirect selection. The present findings indicate that since the traits are highly correlated, selection based on correlations may be a useful breeding strategy for indirect selections for higher seed yield potential (Ojaghi and Akhundova, 2010).

Adequate genetic variability was observed within the genotypes evaluated in the present study. Physiological variability and association analysis conducted on the genotypes indicated that PS 25 DAS, RWC, and WRCL are major physiological parameters which can be exploited for selecting high yielding Indian mustard genotypes under heat stress situation. Therefore, more attention should be paid to improve these characters while selecting highyielding genotypes or choosing parents for heterosis breeding under heat stress conditions.

### References

- AOCS. 1980. Official Methods and Recommended Practices of the American Oil Chemist's Society. *The American Oil Chem-ist's Society*, Champaign.
- Ashraf M, Ahmad, MM. 1998. Relationship between water retention capability and osmotic adjustment in sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor*) grown under drought stress. *Arid S Rehn* **12**: 255-262.
- Azharudheen MTP, Yadava DK, Singh Naveen, Sujata V, Prabhu KV. 2013. Screening Indian mustard [*B. juncea* (L.) Czern & Coss.] germplasm for seedling thermo-tolerance using a new screening protocol. *African J Agric Res* 8: 4755-4760.
- Barrs HD. 1968. Determination of water deficits in plant tissues. In: Kozolvski TT (Eds.), Water Deficits and Plant Growth. Academic Press, New Delhi, pp. 235-268.

- Cheema KL, Sadaqat HA. 2005. Heritability and genetic advance of some seedling traits in Canola under drought and irrigated conditions. *J Agri Res* **43**: 289- 298.
- Clarke JM. 1987. Use of physiological and morphological traits in breeding programmes to improve drought resistance of cereals. In: Srivastava JP, Porcedo E, Acevedo E, Varma S (Eds.), Drought tolerance in winter cereals. John Wiley & Sons, New York, pp. 171-190.
- Hanson CH, Robinson HF, Comstock RE. 1956. Biometrical studies of yield in segregating population of Korean Laspedaza. Agron J 48: 268-272.
- Holland JB. 2006. Estimating genotypic correlations and their standard errors using multivariate restricted maximum likelihood estimated with SAS Proc MIXED. *Crop Sci* **46**: 642-654.
- Ojaghi J, Akhundova E. 2010. Genetic diversity in doubled haploids wheat based on morphological traits, gliadin protein patterns and RAPD markers. *African J Agric Res* **5**: 1701-1712.
- Panse VG, Sukhatme PV. 1978. *Statistical Methods for Agricultural Workers*. 3<sup>rd</sup> Ed. ICAR, New Delhi, pp. 347.
- Panse VG. 1957. Genetics of quantitative characters in relation to plant breeding. *Indian J Genet Plant Breed* **17**: 318-328.
- Premachandra GS, Saneoka H, Ogata S. 1990. Cell membrane stability an indicator of drought tolerance as affected by applied nitrogen in soyabean. *The J Agric Sci* Cambridge **115**: 63-66.
- Ram Bhagirath, Singh VV, Singh BK, Priyamedha, Kumar A, Singh Dhiraj. 2015. Comparative tolerance and sensitive response of Indian mustard [*B. juncea* (L.) Czern & Coss.] genotypes to high temperature stress. SABRAO J Breeding Genet 47: 315-325.
- Ram Bhagirath, Meena HS, Singh VV, Singh BK, Nanjundan J, Kumar A, Singh SP, Bhogal NS, Singh Dhiraj. 2014. High temperature stress tolerance in Indian mustard (*B. juncea*) germplasm as evaluated by membrane stability index and excised-leaf water loss techniques. *J Oilseed Brassica* **5**: 149-157.

- Ram Bhagirath, Singh BK, Singh M, Singh VV, Chauhan JS. 2012. Physiological and molecular characterization of Indian mustard (*B. juncea* L.) genotypes for high temperature tolerance. *Crop Improvement* (S) ICSA A 3: 5-6.
- Salisbury P, Gurung A. 2011. Final report on oilseed brassica improvement in China, India and Australia. In: Australian Centre for International Agricultural Research, Canberra, Australia, pp. 9-10.

- Sairam RK. 1994. Effect of moisture stress on physiological activities of two contrasting wheat genotypes. *Indian J Exp Bio* **32**: 593-594.
- Satyanshu Kumar. 2015. Edible Oils Quality & Nutraceutical Aspects. Studium Press (India) Pvt. Ltd, New Delhi.
- Venkateswarlu B, Prasad JVNS. 2012. Carrying capacity of Indian agriculture: issues related to rainfed agriculture. *Current Sci* **102**: 882-888