

Short Communication

Effect of nitrogen on growth and yield of different varieties of Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea* L)

NK Khuntey*, RK Singh and KB Sharma

Department of Agronomy, Swami Vivekanand University, Sironja, Sagar 470004, Madhya Pradesh, India *Corresponding author: navdeepkhuntey1@gmail.com (Received: 13 September 2023; Revised: 15 December 2023; Accepted: 04 January 2024)

Abstract

A field experiment was conducted to evaluate the field efficacy of varying nitrogen levels on growth and yield of different varieties of Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.). Field experiment was conducted in a split plot design with four levels of nitrogen (0, 50, 100 and 150 kg/ha) in main plots and five varieties (RLC 1, RLC 11, RLC 12, PBR 210 and PBR 91) in the sub plots. Application of N significantly increased plant height, dry matter accumulation (DMA), leaf area index (LAI) and photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) interception over the control. Nitrogen application delayed initiation of flowering and significantly increased number of the secondary branches and number of siliquae per plant and seeds per siliquae over control. PBR 91 and PBR 210 exhibited significantly better growth in terms of plant height, DMA and LAI with higher PAR as compared to RLC 11 and RLC 1. PBR 91, RLC 1 and PBR 210 registered significantly higher test weight than RLC 11 and RLC 12. Application of 100 kg N/ha increased the seed yield significantly over the control and 50 kg N/ha but remained at par with 150 kg N/ha. All the test varieties produced statistically similar seed yields.

Keywords: Growth, Indian mustard varieties, nitrogen dose, yield

Introduction

India is the fourth largest vegetable oil economy in the world next to USA, China and Brazil. Oilseed are the second largest contributor in Indian agricultural economy after the cereals. India is the second largest grower (21.1%) after Canada, and third largest producer (12.6%) after Canada and China of rapeseed-mustard (Choudhary et al., 2023). Among various oilseed crops grown in India, rapeseed-mustard group of crops (Brassica spp. L., Family Brassicaceae) comprising Indian rape (toria), Indian mustard (raya), oilseed rape (Gobhi Sarson), Ethopian mustard (African Sarson), yellow Sarson, brown Sarson and taramira, are next to soybean in terms of area and production. Cultivation of these crops in 28 states of the country under diverse agro-ecological situations over an area of 8.1 million hectares to produce 11.7 million tonnes signifies its importance in vegetable oil scenario of the country. Among these Brassica species, Indian mustard [Brassica juncea (L.) Czern & Coss.] with a share of about 80 per cent in area and production, occupies prominent position in India. Crop production largely depends on cultivation of high yielding cultivars and need based application of nutrients. Nitrogen (N) is the most important nutrient, and being a constituent of protoplasm and protein, it is involved in several metabolic processes that strongly influence growth, productivity and quality of crops (Kumar et al., 2000). The N fertilizer application accounts for significant crop production cost. Mustard has relatively high demand for N than many other crops owing to larger N content in seeds and plant tissues (Malagoli et al 2005). Yield increases in Indian mustard at various locations in India have been reported with application of N as high as 150 kg/ha or more (Singh et al., 2023; Singh et al., 2022; Singh et al., 2010). Brassicas are known to remove higher amount of N until flowering with relatively lower amount taken up during reproductive growth phase (Rathke et al., 2006). Poor translocation of N from vegetative parts to seed during reproductive growth results in low nitrogen use efficiency. A significant part of the unused N is lost to environment causing pollution and contamination of water bodies (Malagoli et al. 2005) or gets converted to greenhouse gases such as oxides of N. Increasing N application also reduces oil content (Singh and Singh 2005; Singh et al., 2008). Since N fertilizers are costly, poor NUE is of great concern and therefore, attempts are needed to improve the contribution of applied N to production of grain and this approach will reduce the environmental and production costs in agriculture. Differences in N concentration in various plant parts of oilseed rape suggest that N uptake and distribution is an inherited character (Grami and La Croix, 1977). Spring oilseed rape cultivars producing lowest yields at lowest level of N application generally responded more markedly to increased N application rates than cultivars with higher yield at high N application (Yau and Thurling, 1987). Therefore, keeping these facts in view, the present investigation was undertaken to assess the effect of different nitrogen levels on growth and yield of Indian mustard varieties.

Materials and Methods

A field experiment was conducted during Rabi season of 2021-22 at the research farm of Swami Vivekanand University Sironja, Sagar, Madhya Pradesh. Sagar is characterized by sub-tropical, humid type of climate with hot and dry summer during April to June followed by hot and humid period during July to September and cold winter during December and January. The mean maximum and minimum temperatures show considerable variations during different months of the year. Temperature often exceeds 38°C during summer and sometimes touches 45°C with dry spells during May and June. Minimum temperature falls below 0.5°C with some frosty spells during the winter months of December and January. The average annual rainfall of the Sagar is 650 mm, about three-fourth of which is contributed by the south-west monsoon during July to September. Winter rains received in the months of December, January and February are scanty. The soil texture of experimental plot was loamy sand with pH of 7.60 and EC of was 0.15 dS/m. In addition to basal application of recommended dose of phosphorus and potassium, 50% of N as per treatments was also applied at time of field preparation before last planking. The remaining dose of N as per treatments was applied after first irrigation. Nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium were applied through urea (46% N), single super phosphate ($16\% P_2O_5$) and muriate of potash ($60\% K_2O$), respectively. The experiment was laid out in split plot design with 4 doses of nitrogen that were 0, 50, 100 and 150 kg N/ha in the main plots and 5 varieties of Indian mustard in the sub plots were RLC 1, RLC 11, RLC 12, PBR 210 and PBR 91. Treatments were replicated thrice. The test genotypes RLC 1, RLC 11, RLC 12 (quality oil/seed meal) and PBR 210 and PBR 91 (conventional) were sown on November 16, 2021 with manually operated seed drill at row spacing of 30 cm using seed rate of 4 kg/ha. Plants growth parameters such as emergence count, plant height, dry matter accumulation, leaf area index (LAI) and photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) were observed over the period of time at 30, 60, 90 and 120 DAS. The average of 5 plants were considered for final observation for all growth parameters. Yield attributes such as number of siliquae, number of seeds per silique, test weight, seed yield and stover yield were observed individually from each plots after harvest. Nitrogen uptake in seed and straw was estimated using modified micro-Kjeldahl method proposed by Subbiah and Asija (1956). The standard analysis of variance (ANOVA) technique prescribed for the split plot design was performed to compare the treatment means. Treatment means were compared at the 5% level of significance (p=0.05) using least significant difference.

Results and Discussion

Growth parameters

The effect of different varieties and nitrogen levels on growth parameters of Indian mustard viz., emergence count, plant height, dry matter accumulation, LAI and PAR was found significant (Table 1). It was observed that application of 150 kg N/ha produced taller plants and maximum dry matter accumulation, LAI and PAR which was at par with 100 kg N/ha. Among varieties PBR 210 and PBR 91 produced taller plant, maximum dry matter accumulation and LAI than any other varieties. But in case of PAR, the maximum was recorded with PBR 210, PBR 91 and RLC 12 which were statistically at par with each other. These results are in tally with that of Kumbhare *et al.* (2007) and Sandhu (2010).

The key factors of a crop's eventual yield are yield characteristics such as number of siliquae, number of seeds per silique, test weight, seed yield and stover yield was found significant and given in Table 2. Increase in dose of N up to 150 kg/ha increased the number of siliquae on main shoot as well as the total number of siliquae per plant followed by 100 kg N/ha. These results are in tally with Kumar and Yadav (2007). All the test varieties statistically similar number of siliquae on main shoot as well as the total number of siliquae per plant. Number of seeds per siliquae increased with application of N up to 100 kg/ha followed by 150 kg N/ha. RLC 11 produced significantly higher number of seeds per siliquae than rest of the test varieties viz. RLC 1, RLC 12, PBR 210 and PBR 91 which were statistically at par with each other. Application of 150 kg N/ha produced highest test weight which was at par with 100 kg N/ha. PBR 91 registered the highest test weight, while RLC 12 produced the lowest test weight. Application of 100 kg/ha of N increased the seed yield which was at par with 150 kg N/ha. All the test genotypes produced statistically similar seed yields. RLC 11 produced the lowest seed yield, whereas, the highest seed yield was produced by PBR 91. These results are in tally with Yadav et al. (2007) and Panda et al. (2004).

The effect of different varieties and nitrogen levels on nitrogen uptake is given in Table 3. The maximum N uptake in seed, stover and total biomass was recorded at 150 kg N/ha which was recorded significantly higher

| • | I varieties | |
|--------|----------------|---|
| - | levels and | |
| | t nitrogen | 0 |
| ÷., | v different | |
| | б | , |
| - - | influenced | |
| | Ś | |
| | lian mustard a | |
| | р | |
| Ę | 01 li | |
| | parameters (| |
| - | q | |
| Ċ | Growt | |
| ÷ | | |
| | Table | |

| Treatment | Plan | t height | (cm) | | Dry | matter | accumula | ation (kg | /ha) | Leaf | area ind | ex | Photo | synthetira | cally ac diation | tive | 1 |
|------------------|------|----------|------|-----|-----|--------|----------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|-----|-------|------------|---------------------|------|---|
| | | | | | | | | Days af | ter sowi | ing (DAS | | | | | | | 1 |
| | 30 | 60 | 90 | 120 | 30 | 60 | 90 | 120 | 30 | 60 | 90 | 120 | 30 | 60 | 90 | 120 | 1 |
| N levels (kg/ha) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 |
| 0 | 12 | 48 | 149 | 180 | 74 | 673 | 4650 | 7052 | 0.2 | 1.3 | 2.3 | 1.2 | 21 | 61 | 82 | 68 | |
| 50 | 14 | 53 | 174 | 198 | 84 | 852 | 5380 | 7714 | 0.3 | 2.1 | 3.7 | 1.8 | 24 | 76 | 91 | 81 | |
| 100 | 14 | 54 | 179 | 200 | 103 | 1013 | 6748 | 8545 | 0.3 | 2.6 | 4.6 | 2.0 | 30 | 84 | 95 | 85 | |
| 150 | 15 | 53 | 179 | 204 | 76 | 1009 | 7677 | 9240 | 0.4 | 3.0 | 5.5 | 2.2 | 30 | 86 | 96 | 87 | |
| CD (p=0.05) | 1 | 7 | 5 | 8 | 19 | 172 | 532 | 659 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 2 | 4 | 7 | 4 | |
| Varieties | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| RLC 1 | 15 | 46 | 170 | 195 | 86 | 875 | 5627 | 8073 | 0.3 | 2.0 | 3.8 | 1.5 | 26 | 76 | 90 | 78 | |
| RLC 11 | 11 | 58 | 170 | 195 | 80 | 740 | 5622 | 7911 | 0.3 | 2.1 | 3.9 | 1.7 | 25 | 76 | 90 | 62 | |
| RLC 12 | 13 | 52 | 166 | 195 | 72 | 906 | 5686 | 7880 | 0.3 | 2.2 | 4.1 | 1.7 | 26 | 75 | 92 | 80 | |
| PBR 210 | 15 | 52 | 173 | 193 | 110 | 1001 | 6877 | 8409 | 0.3 | 2.3 | 4.1 | 1.9 | 26 | 76 | 90 | 83 | |
| PBR 91 | 15 | 52 | 171 | 200 | 66 | 913 | 6758 | 8416 | 0.3 | 2.7 | 4.1 | 2.0 | 29 | 82 | 93 | 81 | |
| CD (p=0.05) | - | 4 | 4 | NS | 20 | 159 | 835 | 364 | NS | 0.3 | NS | 0.2 | NS | 4 | NS | NS | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

140 Journal of Oilseed Brassica, 15 (1) January, 2024

| Treatment N levels (kg/ha) | Siliquae/ plant | Seeds/ siliqua | Test weight (g) | Seed yield (kg/ha) | Stover yield (kg/ha) |
|-------------------------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| 0 | 301 | 11.8 | 3.5 | 1271 | 4917 |
| 50 | 354 | 13.2 | 3.6 | 1881 | 6924 |
| 100 | 368 | 13.4 | 3.6 | 2106 | 7916 |
| 150 | 383 | 13.2 | 3.7 | 2102 | 8137 |
| CD (p=0.05) | 32 | 1 | NS | 211 | 611 |
| Varieties | | | | | |
| RLC 1 | 369 | 12.5 | 3.8 | 1826 | 6785 |
| RLC 11 | 357 | 15.0 | 3.4 | 1800 | 6332 |
| RLC 12 | 372 | 12.6 | 2.9 | 1833 | 7119 |
| PBR 210 | 321 | 12.2 | 3.8 | 1840 | 7191 |
| PBR 91 | 338 | 12.2 | 4.1 | 1902 | 7452 |
| CD (p=0.05) | NS | 0.8 | 0.1 | NS | 338 |

Table 2: Yield attributes and yield of Indian mustard as influenced by different N levels and varieties

over the rest of the N levels, but remained on par with 100 kg N/ha in case of seed and total biomass. PBR 210

recorded the highest total N uptake followed by PBR 91, while least N uptake was recorded with RLC 11.

| Treatment | N uptake in | N uptake in | Total nitrogen |
|-------------|--------------|----------------|----------------|
| | seed (kg/ha) | stover (kg/ha) | uptake (kg/ha) |
| N levels | | | |
| (kg/ha) | | | |
| 0 | 45.8 | 24.2 | 70.1 |
| 50 | 68.4 | 36.3 | 104.8 |
| 100 | 79.9 | 49.0 | 128.9 |
| 150 | 83.0 | 57.9 | 141.0 |
| CD (p=0.05) | 9.3 | 4.4 | 12.4 |
| Varieties | | | |
| RLC 1 | 68.5 | 37.9 | 106.5 |
| RLC 11 | 64.6 | 39.9 | 99.5 |
| RLC 12 | 71.9 | 40.5 | 112.5 |
| PBR 210 | 69.5 | 49.4 | 118.9 |
| PBR 91 | 71.8 | 49.7 | 118.6 |
| CD (p=0.05) | NS | 4.0 | 7.7 |

Conclusion

Nitrogen application favorably influenced the growth, yield attributes and seed yield of Indian mustard up to 100 kg/ha. Increase in seed and stover yields with application of N up to 100 kg/ha of N was significant. Differences among genotypes for seed yield was non-significant. The study indicates that under same agroclimatic conditions, quality mustard genotypes produced similar seed yields and required similar dose of N to that of conventional mustard genotypes.

References

Grami B and La Croix LJ. 1977. Cultivar variation in total nitrogen uptake in rape. *Canadian J Pl Sci* **57**: 619-624.

- Kumar D, Singh S, Sharma SN and Shivay YS. 2000. Relative efficiency of urea and dicyandiamideblended urea on mustard (*B. juncea*) varieties. *Ind J Agron* 45:179-183.
- Kumar H and Yadav DS. 2007. Effect of phosphorus and sulphur levels on growth, yield and quality of Indian mustard (*B. juncea*) cultivars. *Ind J Agron* **52**:154-157.
- Kumbhare MD, Khawale VS, Rajput GR, Datey CP and

Idapuganti KG. 2007. Effect of nitrogen levels and chlormequat on mustard (*B. juncea*). *J Soil Crop* **17**:394-397.

- Malagoli P, Laine P, Rossato L and Ourry A. 2005. Dynamics of nitrogen uptake and mobilization in field grown winter oilseed rape (*B. napus*) from stem extension to harvest. *Ann Bot* **95**:853-861.
- Panda BB, Bandyopadhyay SK and Shivay YS. 2004. Effect of irrigation level, sowing dates and varieties on yield attributes, yield, consumptive water use and water use efficiency of Indian mustard (*B. juncea*). *Ind J Agric Sci* **74**:339-342.
- Rathke GW, Behrens T and Diepenbrock W. 2006. Integrated nitrogen management strategies to improve seed yield, oil content and nitrogen efficiency of winter oilseed rape (*B. napus*). A review. Agri Eco Environ 117:80-108.
- Sandhu PS. 2010. Nitrogen and spacing requirements of promising hybrids of Indian mustard (*B. juncea*).M.Sc Thesis, Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhaina. 2010.
- Singh AK, Singh SN, Singh OP and Khan MA. 2008. Quality of Indian mustard (*B. juncea*) as affected by nitrogen and sulphur fertilizers in a nutrient deficient soil. *Ind J Agric Biochem* **21**:39-41.
- Singh HV, Choudhary RL, Jat RS, Rathore SS, Meena

MK and Rai PK. 2023. Re-visiting of nitrogen and sulphur requirements in Indian mustard (*B. juncea*) under irrigated conditions. *Ind J Agric Sci* **93**: 51–56.

- Singh HV, Jat RS, Choudhary RL, Rathore SS, Meena MK and Rai PK. 2022. Contemporary nitrogen management in maize (*Z. mays*)–Indian mustard (*B. juncea*) cropping system for maximizing yield, water productivity and profitability. *Ind J Agric Sci* 92: 1381–1385.
- Singh SP and Singh V. 2005. Effect of nitrogen, sulphur and zinc on Indian mustard (*B. juncea*). *Ind J Agric Sci* **75**: 828-830.
- Singh Y, Singh T, Singh UN and Rajput PK. 2010. Effect of nutrient management on yield, quality and economics of irrigated Indian mustard (*B. juncea*). *Ind J Agric Sci* **80**: 691-694.
- Yadav RB, Singh RK and Singh H. 2007. Response of Indian mustard (*B. juncea*) to nitrogen and sulphur in mid-western plain zone of Uttar Pradesh. *Ind J Crop Sci* 2: 243-244.
- Yau SK and Thurling N. 1987. Genetic variation in nitrogen uptake and utilization in spring rape (*B. napus*) and its exploitation thorough selection. *Plant Breed* **98**: 330-338.